IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

ORDER NO. <u>1203</u>

Amending Civil Rule 32(a) concerning use of depositions.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Civil Rule 32(a) is amended to read:
 - (a) Use of Depositions. At the trial or upon the hearing of a motion or an interlocutory proceeding, any part or all of a deposition, so far as admissible under the rules of evidence applied as though the witness were then present and testifying, may be used against any party who was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or who had reasonable notice thereof, in accordance with any of the following provisions:

. . . .

- (3) The deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, may be used by any party for any purpose if the court finds:
 - (A) that the witness is dead; or
- (B) that the witness is at a greater distance than 100 miles from the place of trial or hearing, or is out of the state, unless it appears that the absence of the

Supreme Court Order No. 1203 Effective Date: July 15, 1995 Page 2

witness was procured by the party offering the deposition; or

Supreme Court Order No. <u>1203</u>
Effective Date: <u>July 15, 1995</u>
Page 3

- (C) that the witness is unable to attend or testify because of age, illness, infirmity, or imprisonment; or
- (D) that the party offering the deposition has been unable to procure the attendance of the witness by subpoena; or
- (E) upon application and notice, that such exceptional circumstances exist as to make it desirable, in the interest of justice and with due regard to the importance of presenting the testimony of witnesses orally in open court, to allow the deposition to be used; or
- (F) that the witness' testimony has been recorded on video tape.

A deposition taken without leave of court pursuant to a notice under Rule 30(a)(2)(C) shall not be used against a party who demonstrates that, when served with the notice, the party was unable through the exercise of diligence to obtain counsel to represent the party at the taking of the deposition; nor shall a deposition be used against a party who, having received less than 11 days notice of a deposition, has promptly upon receiving such notice filed a motion for a protective order under Rule

Supreme Court Ord	der No. <u>1203</u>	
Effective Date: _	July 15, 1995	
Page 4		

26(c)(2) requesting that the deposition not be held or be held at a different time or place and such motion is pending at the time the deposition is held.

DATED:	March	31,	1995	

EFFECTIVE DATE: <u>July 15, 1995</u>

Chief Ju	ustice Moore
Justice	Rabinowitz
Justice	Matthews
Justice	Compton
Justice	Eastaugh